



## Coalition for Planning Reform [CPR] Communiqué

9/9/13

### Mission

A coalition of organisations representing over 120 active community groups has formed to restore democracy to South Australia's planning system.

### Members of CPR have lost faith in the planning system

Members of the Coalition for Planning Reform have lost faith in the planning system, and believe it needs fundamental reform, on the following grounds.

#### 1. Lack of real planning

The current planning system and its policies lack integrated urban planning based on principles of sustainability, and instead seem geared towards an attempt to fast-track *ad hoc* development. The provisions for sustainability are inadequate and there is no long-term vision that prepares for climate change.

#### 2. Lack of genuine community engagement

Consultation with the community occurs towards the end of the planning process, with few or no options given. Major decisions appear to have been already made and community views given at this stage are frequently ignored and appear to have little to no impact on the final outcome. Prime examples of the flawed processes and outcomes include the Mount Barker rezoning and changes to the significant tree legislation.

#### 3. Lack of transparent and accountable decision-making

We believe the Government and the Planning Minister have excessive powers and the development lobby has significant and unfair influence on the government. The planning decision-making process is not transparent and there is little requirement for the Government and the Minister to account for their decisions.

#### 4. Lack of an effective system for larger-scale development outside of the normal processes

Currently the Planning Minister can declare a proposal as a major development without having to justify the need through an open and democratic process. Public input into these proposals is severely limited, and the assessment of environmental factors is often inadequate.

In addition, there are separate provisions for Crown and public infrastructure developments that allow the Planning Minister to bypass the 'normal' processes, and in some circumstances to also exclude public consultation and environmental assessment requirements. Such exemptions are anachronistic and have no place in a modern planning system.

## **Major planning decisions are based on flawed processes and policies**

In response to community unrest, the government has acknowledged the need for a review of the planning system and legislation, and has engaged the Expert Panel on Planning Reform to carry out this review. The CPR believes it is unacceptable that very significant planning decisions, based on flawed processes and policies and enacted by a system in need of review, are currently being made while the planning review process is underway. Prime examples are:

- The Urban Renewal Amendment Bill  
The Urban Renewal Amendment Bill currently before the parliament represents a major change to the planning laws and will significantly alter how planning and development occur in designated areas. It could be used to minimise community input and maximise Government powers. The public has not been consulted about this Bill and the need for it has not come from an open and transparent review process.
- Major rezonings based on the 30 Year Plan  
The 30 Year Plan is a significant document as the State Planning Strategy for Greater Adelaide. A rapid series of Development Plan Amendments (DPAs) is occurring based on the 30 Year Plan, to rezone large areas for urban development. The Plan and the DPAs lack real integrated and urban planning principles and are based on minimal public consultation processes, with most community views ignored.

### **The Coalition for Planning Reform is calling for:**

1. A new planning system to be established that:
  - a) Adequately addresses and integrates early on in the planning process critically important issues such as sustainability, climate change, transport planning, infrastructure provision, food and water security, environmental aspects, biodiversity, social aspects, sustainable design, employment, heritage, health and economic viability;
  - b) Has genuine and meaningful community engagement throughout the planning decision-making process, with information readily accessible and easy to understand;
  - c) Has transparent and accountable decision-making with a genuinely independent and open review process, which results in outcome-based and enforceable commitments;
  - d) Provides a reformed process for the environmental assessment of development that currently falls outside of the normal processes (including major projects, and Crown and public infrastructure developments) that also meets the abovementioned standards (a, b and c); and
  - e) Reviews the 30 Year Plan or delivers a new planning strategy to replace it.
2. An immediate moratorium on all major planning decisions, including significant changes to planning laws and major rezonings based on the 30 Year Plan, until this new planning system is established.

If the Expert Panel's planning review is a genuine process designed to uncover and correct flaws in the system, major planning decisions must be deferred until it is completed.

### **120 community groups are represented by the member organisations of the CPR:**

Conservation Council of South Australia  
Community Alliance SA  
National Trust of South Australia